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**On the essence of the collective ownership enterprise status**

Discovered impacts of the current Classification of legal forms and economic rationale for the inclusion of these forms of collective ownership of enterprises. The questions about whether revive collective ownership. Collective property must occupy an intermediate position between private and public and should take the positive features of each of these other types of property, get rid of negative traits.

Analysis of these powers to determine the set of desired properties for enterprises of collective ownership. In particular, it is advisable to include such properties ownership, right of use, the right management (decision, by whom and how a thing can be used), the right to income, the right to security, is immune from expropriation; perpetuity, ban the use of harmful things other way. Unwanted properties for these companies. To them we include the right to "capital value" way, which implies the right to alienation, consumption, changing or destroying things; the right to transfer things by inheritance, bequest; liability to a penalty, ie the possibility of taking things to the payment of the debt; residual, ie expectations of "natural" return transferred powers to anyone after the term or in case of loss into force for any other reason.

The auther believe that: 1) the items Order Derzhspozhivstandart Ukraine, which is not expected creation and state registration of new companies with the legal form of PCI should be abolished; 2) collective ownership should be revived and the latter should occupy an intermediate position between private and public. Collective ownership is to take positive features of each of these other types of property and get rid of negative traits that are also characteristic of this species. In determining the optimal set of powers set to be include in the legal status of PCI should be based on the so-called beam powers that offered the British lawyer A.Onore.